

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,870	04/16/2004	Peter Bergethon	00398-157001	9829
26161 75	90 05/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			NASSER, ROBERT L	
BOSTON, MA	· <del>-</del> -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3736	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sp
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
	10/826,870	BERGETHON, PETER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert L. Nasser	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	January 2005.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4) Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-26,45-53 and 72	-80 is/are withdrawn from	consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,27,28,54,55 and 81</u> is/are rejected			
7) Claim(s) <u>2-17,29-44 and 56-71</u> is/are objected	d to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	🗖		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🛮 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/23/04, 2/25/05</u> .	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/826,870

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 18-26, 45-53, and 72-80 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/3/2005.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 27, 28, 54, 55, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sackner 6,551,252. In column 12, lines 21-40, Sackner discloses a method (and inherently a device) to determine ischemia based on differences in ventricular wall motion from the left (side of the body) and right (side of the body) ventricle in a human.

Claims 1, 27, 28, 54, 55, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by John 6052619. In column 9, line 57 through column 10, line 27, John discloses a system and method of detecting ischemia by comparing brainwaves obtained from the left and right sides of the brain, and noting that ischemia is present if the amplitudes of the slow waves are not the same.

Claims 2-17, 29-44, and 56-71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims all define over the art in that none of the art induces the physiological parameter measure din the base claim by provide a stimulus to the right and left sides of the body, as claimed.

John has embodiments where stimuli are provide to induce signals, which are called evoked potentials or average evoked potentials. John also measures naturally occurring EEG signals. In the embodiment where ischemia is determine (Version I, mode 2), John only refers to EEG signals. It is the examiner's understanding based on the entirety of the specification, that the EEG signals in mode 2 are the naturally occurring EEG and not evoked signals.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Boas shows another device that analyzes ischemia from signals from the left and right sides of the body.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (571) 27:2-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, variable hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

RLN May 2, 2005

> ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EYAMINER

Roberts Masser?